



Coordinated Nutrition and ISPP Programs

Program Goals and Objectives Progress – Updated May 2019 Including test pass rates, program completion and employment

For further information contact: Elisa Fischer, MS, RD, LDN; Program Director efischer@dom.edu | (708) 488.5284

Mission of the Coordinated and ISPP Program

The Coordinated and ISPP Program seeks to prepare competent, entry-level Registered Dietitian Nutritionists for practice in Illinois and nation. The learning environment is structured to promote the Sinsinawa Dominicans' dedication to values-centered, intellectual development. Our program strives to recruit and serve a diversified community as well as promote an appreciation for service to the community and our profession.

Program Goals, Objectives and Actual Outcomes

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for	D) Evaluation	E) Individual(s)	F) Timeframe for	G) Actual Outcomes
b) objectives	Evaluation and	Method(s) for Collected	Responsible for	Collecting Data	Gy Actual Outcomes
	Data Source	Data	•	-	
	Data Source	Data	Ensuring Data Is	(When is data	
			Collected	collected?)	
Objective 1.1a. ISPP Track: At least	Jenzabar Student	Data collected on: all ISPP	Program Director and	At the conclusion	ISPP-local
80% percent of ISPP track students	Information system	(local and remote) track	Office of Institutional	of each semester	Summary since 2011
will complete all program/degree	(SIS) enrollment and	students (disaggregated	Effectiveness (Director of	records are	entrance:
requirements within 2 years (150%	completion records	by local or remote track)	Continuous	updated	72.8% (59) completed in 2
of the program length) for the local	and CDR Registration		Improvement)		years or less; 16.1% (13)
program and within 3 years (150%	submission records;	Method: Review of			completed in 3 – 5 years
of program length) for the remote	creating longitudinal	student information			11.1% (9) did not
program.	program database	system records on			complete/withdrew
		continued enrollment and			
		completion to create data			Since 2014, % who did not
		table			complete = 0% and those
					completing in 2 years or less
					averaged 98% for the past
					three years.
					% completing in 2 yrs or less
					by entrance year:
					2011: 50.0%
					2012: 60.1%
					2013: 72.2%
					2014: 62.5%
					2015: 93.8%
					2016: 100%
					2017: 100%
					ISPP Remote

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
					Summary since 2011 entrance: 78.6% (33) completed in 3 years or less; 21.4% (9) did not complete/withdrew Outcome is approaching target and increasing over time. Past 3 years average = 88.9% % completing in 3 yrs or less by entrance year: 2011: 75% 2012: 66.7% 2013: 80.0% 2014: 85.7% 2015: 66.7% 2016: 100% 2017: 100%
Objective 1.1b. Graduate Coordinated Program: At least 80% percent of Graduate Coordinated Program track students will complete program/degree requirements within three years (150% of the program length).	Jenzabar Student Information system (SIS) enrollment and completion records and CDR Registration submission records; creating longitudinal program database	Data collected on: all Graduate Coordinated track students for enrollment and completion tracking Method: Review of student information system records on continued enrollment and completion to create data table	Program Director and Office of Institutional Effectiveness (Director of Continuous Improvement)	At the conclusion of each semester records are updated	Summary since 2011: 98.1% (154) completed in 3 years or less; .6% (1) completed in 4 years, 1.3% (2) did not complete Past 3 years average = 96.5% % completing in 3 yrs or less by entrance year: 2011: 95.0% 2012: 100% 2013: 100% 2015: 100%

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
Objective 1.1c. Undergraduate Coordinated Program: At least 80% percent of Undergraduate Coordinated Program track students will complete program/degree requirements within 3 years (150% of the program length).	Jenzabar Student Information system (SIS) enrollment and completion records and CDR Registration submission records; creating longitudinal program database	Data collected on: all Undergraduate Coordinated track students for enrollment and completion tracking Method: Review of student information system records on continued enrollment and completion to create data table	Program Director and Office of Institutional Effectiveness (Director of Continuous Improvement)	At the conclusion of each semester records are updated	2016: 89.5% Summary since 2009: 96.9% (63) completed in 3 years or less; 3.1% (2) did not complete Past three years average = 94.4% % completing in 3 years or less by entrance year: 2009: 100% 2010: 88.9% 2011: 100% 2012: 100% 2013: 100% 2014: 100% 2015: 83.3%
Objective 1.2 At least 80% of all program graduates will take the CDR credentialing exam for dietitian nutritionists within 12 months of program completion.	CDR registration database and Pearson CUE Data for test score file download	Test score data collected on all program graduates in the ISPP-local, ISPP- remote, GR Coordinated and UG Coordinated tracks Method: Enter test date data into program longitudinal program database to create data table with summary exam date rates	Program Director and Assistant Director	At the conclusion of each semester records are updated	ISPP local 2013 Graduates: 100% (10) 2014 Graduates: 100% (14) 2015 Graduates: 92% (12) 2016 Graduates: 100% (16) 2017 Graduates: 100% (9) Past 3 years average = 97.3% ISPP Remote 2013 Graduates: 100% (3) 2014 Graduates: 100% (10) 2015 Graduates: 100% (2) 2017 Graduates: 100% (2) 2017 Graduates: 100% (4) Past 3 years average: 100%

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected	E) Individual(s) Responsible for	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data	G) Actual Outcomes
	Data Source	Data	Ensuring Data Is Collected	(When is data collected?)	
					UG Coordinated 2012 Graduates: 100% (8) 2013 Graduates: 100% (1) 2014 Graduates: 100% (9) 2015 Graduates: 100% (17) 2016 Graduates: 100% (6) 2017 Graduates: 91.6% (12) Past 3 years average: 97% Graduate Coordinated 2013 Graduates: 90% (20) 2014 Graduates: 85.0% (27) 2015 Graduates: 93.5% (31) 2017 Graduates: 93.5% (31) 2017 Graduates: 96.9% (32) Past 3 years average: 92.6%
Objective 1.3 The program's one- year pass rate (graduates who pass the registration exam within one year of first attempt) on the CDR credentialing exam for dietitian nutritionists is at least 80%.	CDR registration database/credential look-up site and Pearson CUE Data for test score files	Test score data collected on all program completers in the ISPP-local, ISPP- remote, GR Coordinated and UG Coordinated tracks Method: Test score data entered into program longitudinal program database to create results pivot tables	Program Director and Assistant Director	At the conclusion of each semester records are updated	For all programs, pass rate increasing <u>ISPP local</u> 2013 Graduates: 72.7% (11) 2014 Graduates: 57.1% (14) 2015 Graduates: 57.1% (14) 2016 Graduates: 72.7% (12) 2016 Graduates: 56.3% (16) 2017 Graduates: 62.5% (9) 2018 Graduates: 100%*(9) *3 others still have time within year to take exam/pass <u>ISPP Remote</u> 2013 Graduates: 100% (3) 2014 Graduates: 60% (10)

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
					2015 Graduates: 50% (2) 2016 Graduates: 100% (2) 2017 Graduates: 75% (4) 2018 Graduates: 100% (2)
					<u>UG Coordinated</u> 2013 Graduates: 100% (1) 2014 Graduates: 66.7% (9) 2015 Graduates: 82.4% (17) 2016 Graduates: 60% (6) 2017 Graduates: 91.7% (12) 2018 Graduates: 100% (11) *7 others still have time in year to take exam/pass
					Graduate Coordinated 2013 Graduates: 65% (20) 2014 Graduates: 70% (27) 2015 Graduates: 62.5% (32) 2016 Graduates: 68% (31) 2017 Graduates: 79% (32) 2018 Graduates: 100%* (23); 5 have yet to take exam
Objective 1.4 At least 80% of all program graduates will agree or strongly agree that the program provided varied and valuable preparation for the Registration Examination for Dietitians as reported on the program Exit	Survey items from program Exit Survey/Interview in Qualtrics platform	Exit Interview Survey data collected on all ISPP-local, ISPP-remote, GR Coordinated and UG Coordinated program graduates.	Program Director and Assistant Director	At the conclusion of each semester	ISPP local 2013 Graduates: 80.0% (10) 2014 Graduates: 85.7% (14) 2015 Graduates: 83.3% (12) 2016 Graduates: 87.5% (16) 2017 Graduates: 88.6% (9)
Interview Survey.		Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative			ISPP Remote Data not available for remote students; new

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
Objective 1.5 Of program graduates	Survey items from	survey data; disaggregated by program track Alumni Follow-Up Survey	Program Director and	Annually in fall;	method put in place in 2018 to collect this data UG Coordinated 2012 Graduates: 100% (8) 2013 Graduates 100% (1) 2014 Graduates: 88.0% (9) 2015 Graduates: 82.3% (17) 2016 Graduates: 83% (6) 2017 Graduates: 91.6% (12) Graduate Coordinated 2013 Graduates: 90% (20) 2014 Graduates: 90% (20) 2015 Graduates: 90% (32) 2016 Graduates: 85.2% (27) 2015 Graduates: 80.6% (31) 2017 Graduates: 87.5% (32)
who seek employment, 80% are employed in nutrition and dietetics or related fields within 12 months of graduation.	program Alumni Follow-Up Survey in Qualtrics platform	Data collected on all ISPP- local, ISPP-remote, UG Coordinated and GR Coordinated students one- year post program completion Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative survey data to create data tables	Assistant Director with assistance from Office of Institutional Effectiveness	sent to all program completers within one-year post completion	2013 Graduates: 100% (10) 2014 Graduates: 100% (14) 2015 Graduates: 91.0% (12) 2016 Graduates: 91.0% (12) 2017 Graduates: 85% (16) 2017 Graduates: 71.4% (9) <u>ISPP Remote</u> 2013 Graduates: 100% (4); <i>data not found for 4 others</i> 2014 Graduates: 100% (2) 2015 Graduates: 100% (2) 2016 Graduates: 100% (2) 2017 Graduates: <i>data not</i> <i>found</i> (4)

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
					UG Coordinated 2012 Graduates: 100% (5), data not found for 3 2013 Graduates: 100% (1) 2014 Graduates: 89% (9) 2015 Graduates: 93% (17) 2016 Graduates: 100% (6) 2017 Graduates: 91% (12) Graduate Coordinated 2013 Graduates: 100% (20) 2014 Graduates: 95% (27) 2015 Graduates: 95% (31) 2017 Graduates: 95% (32)
Objective 1.6 At least 80% of employers who respond will agree or strongly agree that program graduates are prepared with the knowledge and skills for entry-level practice as reported on the biennial Employer Survey.	Survey items from the biennial employer survey in the Qualtrics platform	Employer Survey Data collected from supervisors (employers) of all ISSP- local, ISPP-remote, UG Coordinated and GR Coordinated program graduates. Supervisor contact information collected from alumni and graduating student survey data and student exit interview data (populates the program employer/supervisor database) Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative	Program Director and Assistant Director with assistance from Office of Institutional Effectiveness	Every other year in even numbered years in fall	2016 Employer Survey: 100% (8) 2018 Employer Survey: 83.3% agree (12)

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collected Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data (When is data collected?)	G) Actual Outcomes
Objective 1.7 Maintain a program enrollment that consists of at least 25% under-represented minority (URM) students.	Student race/ethnicity (using IPEDS definition), as reported in the admission application and stored in the	survey data to create summary data tables Data collected on: all ISPP- local, ISPP-remote, UG Coordinated and GR Coordinated students (disaggregated by all program tracks)	Office of Institutional Effectiveness	Twice annually at fall and spring enrollment census dates	<u>UG Coordinated</u> 2015-16: 29.4% URM 2016-17: 22.3% URM 2017-18: 26.1% URM <u>GR Coordinated</u>
	Jenzabar Student Information system (SIS)	Method: Review of student information system records on enrollment to create program enrollment data tables			2015-16: 28.5% URM 2016-17: 24.9% URM 2017-18: 27.2% URM <u>ISPP-local</u> 2015-16: 35.7% URM 2016-17: 40.0% URM 2017-18: 44.4% URM
					<u>ISPP-remote</u> 2015-16: NA 2016-17: NA 2017-18: NA

A) Goal #2 – The program will prepare graduates who demonstrate a commitment to lifelong learning and leadership in their communities and the profession. D) Evaluation Method(s) for B) Objectives C) Data Needed for E) Individual(s) F) Timeframe for G) Actual **Evaluation and Collecting Data Responsible for Collecting Data** Outcomes **Ensuring Data Data Source** Is Collected Objective 2.1 At least 80% of employers Survey items from the Employer Survey Data collected **Program Director** Every other year in 2016 Employer Survey: who respond will agree or strongly biennial employer from supervisors (employers) of and Assistant even numbered 87.5% agree (8) agree that Dominican University ISPP-local, ISPP-remote, UG Director with years in fall

A) Goal #2 – The program will prepare graduates who demonstrate a commitment to lifelong learning and leadership in their communities and the profession.

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collecting Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data	G) Actual Outcomes
graduates are prepared to meet the needs of a diverse or underserved population as reported on the biennial Employer Survey.	survey in the Qualtrics platform	Coordinated, GR Coordinated program graduates. Supervisor contact information collected from alumni and graduating student survey data and student exit interview data (populates the program employer/supervisor database) Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative survey data to create summary data tables, always disaggregated by program track	assistance from Office of Institutional Effectiveness		2018 Employer Survey: 91.67% agree (12)
Objective 2.2 Within two years of program completion, at least 50% of graduates will report participation in professional or volunteer activities addressing diverse and/or underserved communities as reported on the annual Alumni Follow-Up Survey.	Annual Alumni Follow- Up Survey (Note: this question was added to the survey for the 2018 administration of the survey to align with the revision of this new objective).	Annual Alumni Follow-Up Survey Data collected on all ISPP-local, ISPP-remote, UG Coordinated and GR Coordinated students one- year post program completion Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative survey data to create data tables	Program Director and Assistant Director with assistance from Office of Institutional Effectiveness	Annually in fall	Based on 47% response rate of Alumni Survey <u>ISPP local</u> 2018: 50% (42) <u>UG Coordinated</u> 2018: 66.7% (9) <u>Graduate Coordinated</u> 2018: 42.5% (73)
Objective 2.3 Within two years of program completion, at least 50% of graduates will report participation in professional development activities related to their career aspirations and paths as reported on the annual Alumni Follow-Up Survey.	Annual Alumni Follow- Up Survey (Note: this question was added to the survey for the 2018 administration of the survey to align with the revision of this new objective).	Annual Alumni Follow-Up Survey Data collected on all ISPP-local, ISPP-remote, UG Coordinated and GR Coordinated students one- year post program completion Method: Frequency analysis of quantitative survey data to create	Program Director and Assistant Director with assistance from Office of Institutional Effectiveness	Annually in fall	Based on 47% response rate of Alumni Survey ISPP local 2018: 50% (42) UG Coordinated 2018: 77.8% (9) Graduate Coordinated

A) Goal #2 – The program will prepare graduates who demonstrate a commitment to lifelong learning and leadership in their communities and the profession.

B) Objectives	C) Data Needed for Evaluation and Data Source	D) Evaluation Method(s) for Collecting Data	E) Individual(s) Responsible for Ensuring Data Is Collected	F) Timeframe for Collecting Data	G) Actual Outcomes
		data tables always disaggregated by program track			2018: 60.3% (73)